## Case 5:07-cv-01239-JF Document 144 Filed 02/09/09 Page 2 of 3

(2) requiring Plaintiff to submit to a mental status examination pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 35. Plaintiff now objects to those orders, primarily on the basis of a purported discovery cut-off date barring Defendant's requests. Plaintiff also argues that the orders generally are unfair. Having considered the record, the Court is satisfied that the Magistrate Judge's orders were not clearly erroneous or contrary to law. The Magistrate Judge correctly rejected Plaintiff's argument with respect to a discovery cut-off date, noting that no such date had been imposed by this Court and that Plaintiff had waived any such argument by propounding discovery requests after the purported cut-off date. The Magistrate Judge also carefully considered each of Plaintiff's substantive arguments with respect to privacy rights and evidentiary relevance. In each instance, the Magistrate Judge reached a reasoned conclusion. Accordingly, Plaintiff's objections will be overruled.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 2/9/09

JEREMY FOCEL United States Listrict Judge

## 

1	This Order has been served electronically upon the following persons:
2	Cesar Javier del Peral cesar.delperal@dfeh.ca.gov
3	Eve Helayne Lewin Wagner, Esq ewagner@swattys.com
4	James A. Scharf james.scharf@usdoj.gov, mimi.lam@usdoj.gov
5	Laurie Beth Hiller lhiller@swattys.com
6	Lindsey A. Urbina Lindsey.Urbina@dfeh.ca.gov, Voneciel.Gaines@dfeh.ca.gov
7	Notice of this Order has been delivered by other means to:
8	Cathy Enwere 1263 Madera Ave. Menlo Park, CA 94025
10	110mo 1 mm, 611 y 1020
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3